Class and Section: MIS4173-001 Date: 02/28/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 6:

Lee Hadel, Matthew Ortel, Tanner Rhodes

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **88** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **98**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your second sprint. Continue to push toward a working system. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/28/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 14 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 19 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 15 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 4 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 88 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 14 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 9 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 98 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report you included looks professional, but see the following issues:
  + TOC needs real page numbers, not just the symbol #
  + Add page numbers to the document
  + Use a sans-serif font like Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri—Calibri is preferred
  + Use your Section Titles as your section headers—you just start with Executive summary . . .
  + Do not leave previous diagrams in the document that you updated. The cases and first CD should be removed and replaced with the current versions
  + FOLLOW the TOC in the layout of the items in the document
  + Problem analysis is too short—improve it
  + LOOK at the examples on Canvas to see how some teams’ layout looks of their report
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Your non-functional requirements were good, but please put headings in so we know where the Operational, Performance, Security, and Cultural and Political are without digging through the prose
* What is your new documentation? Section 2 executive summary would have been a good choice, but it just appears to be a summary of the sprint . . .
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are good, but there are no user requirements for the system listed so how do you have a PSPI?
    - See comment below about sprint 2 backlog
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation should include (this is on page 3 of the Project Notes—ask me if you do not know where they are):
    - Progress and accomplishments for the sprint
    - Problems encountered with the sprint
    - An analysis of progress against schedule (e.g., due dates, sprints)
    - Activities for the coming sprint, including any deliverables
    - Any other items that would be of interest to management (professor)
    - Total number of hours worked on the sprint
* The Project plan is good—maybe you can start adding tasks
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The UCD and CD are very good
  + On the UCD and CD choose the same labels for actors and entities
* The non-functional requirements are good
* All sprint items were good except the product backlog has no user requirements for the system listed
  + Sprint 2 backlog has items that are not requirements—items that belong in the presentation do not go one the backlog and the report items are already listed from 1-29
* How do you have a PSPI without user requirements for the system listed on your backlogs?
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.71/4 = 67.75%. You can see I gave you a 98%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.